



OFFICE *of the* ATTORNEY GENERAL
GREG ABBOTT

March 26, 2004

Mr. Kevin D. Pagan
Deputy City Attorney
City of McAllen
P.O. Box 220
McAllen, Texas 78505-0220

OR2004-2346

Dear Mr. Pagan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 198217.

The City of McAllen (the "city") received a request for the entire personnel file of a named city employee. You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.117 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and have reviewed the information you submitted.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from required public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information that is protected by other statutes. You claim that the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 1320d-1320d-8, governs the information submitted as Exhibit B. At the direction of Congress, the Secretary of Health and Human Services ("HHS") promulgated regulations setting privacy standards for medical records, which HHS issued as the Federal Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information. *See* Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-2 (Supp. IV 1998) (historical & statutory note); Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information, 45 C.F.R. Pts. 160, 164 ("Privacy Rule"); *see also* Attorney General Opinion JC-0508 at 2 (2002). These standards govern the releasability of protected health information by a covered entity. *See* 45 C.F.R. pts. 160, 164. Under these standards, a covered entity may not use or

disclose protected health information, excepted as provided by parts 160 and 164 of the Code of Federal Regulations. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a).

This office recently addressed the interplay of the Privacy Rule and the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 681 (2004). In that decision, we noted that section 164.512 of title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations provides that a covered entity may use or disclose protected health information to the extent that such use or disclosure is required by law and the use or disclosure complies with and is limited to the relevant requirements of such law. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(a)(1). We further noted that the Act "is a mandate in Texas law that compels Texas governmental bodies to disclose information to the public." *See* Open Records Decision No. 681 at 8 (2004); *see also* Gov't Code §§ 552.002, .003, .021. We therefore held that disclosures under the Act come within section 164.512(a) of title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Consequently, the Privacy Rule does not make information confidential for the purpose of section 552.101 of the Government Code. Open Records Decision No. 681 at 9 (2004); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 478 (1987) (as general rule, statutory confidentiality requires express language making information confidential). Because the Privacy Rule does not make confidential information that is subject to disclosure under the Act, the city may withhold requested protected health information from the public only if an exception in subchapter C of the Act applies.

Section 552.101 of the Act also incorporates the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code. *See* Occ. Code § 151.001. The MPA governs the disclosure of medical records. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in part:

(a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Id. § 159.002(a)-(c). This office has determined that in governing access to a specific subset of information, the MPA prevails over the more general provisions of chapter 552 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). We also have concluded

that when a file is created as the result of a hospital stay, all of the documents in the file that relate to diagnosis and treatment constitute either physician-patient communications or records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that are created or maintained by a physician. *See* Open Records Decision No. 546 (1990). Medical records must be released on receipt of the patient's signed, written consent, provided that the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *See* Occ. Code §§ 159.004, .005. Any subsequent release of medical records must be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. *See id.* § 159.002(c); Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). Medical records may be released only as provided by the MPA. *See* Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). We have marked the submitted information that is subject to the MPA. The city must not release that information unless the MPA permits the city to do so.

The city may be required to withhold a social security number under section 552.101 in conjunction with 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), if a governmental body obtained or maintains the social security number under any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 at 2-4 (1994). It is not apparent to this office that any social security number contained in the submitted documents is confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I) of the federal law. You have cited no law, and we are aware of no law, enacted on or after October 1, 1990 that authorizes the city to obtain or maintain a social security number. Thus, we have no basis for concluding that any social security number contained in the submitted documents was obtained or is maintained under such a law and is therefore confidential under the federal law. We caution you, however, that chapter 552 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.007, .352. Therefore, before releasing a social security number, the city should ensure that it was not obtained and is not maintained under any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the common-law right to privacy. Information must be withheld from the public under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy when the information is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, *and* (2) of no legitimate public interest. *See Industrial Found. v. Texas Ind. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Common-law privacy encompasses the specific types of information that the Texas Supreme Court held to be intimate or embarrassing in *Industrial Foundation*. *See* 540 S.W.2d at 683 (information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs). This office has since concluded that other types of information also are private under section 552.101. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 659 at 4-5 (1999) (summarizing information attorney general has determined to be private), 470 at 4 (1987) (illness from severe emotional job-related stress),

455 at 9 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps), 343 at 1-2 (1982) (references in emergency medical records to drug overdose, acute alcohol intoxication, obstetrical/gynecological illness, convulsions/seizures, or emotional/mental distress).

Common-law privacy also encompasses certain types of personal financial information. This office has determined that financial information that relates only to an individual ordinarily satisfies the first element of the common-law privacy test, but the public has a legitimate interest in the essential facts about a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 9-12 (1992) (identifying public and private portions of state employees' personnel records), 545 at 4 (1990) ("In general, we have found the kinds of financial information not excepted from public disclosure by common-law privacy to be those regarding the receipt of governmental funds or debts owed to governmental entities"), 523 at 4 (1989) (noting distinction under common-law privacy between confidential background financial information furnished to public body about individual and basic facts regarding particular financial transaction between individual and public body), 373 at 4 (1983) (determination of whether public's interest in obtaining personal financial information is sufficient to justify its disclosure must be made on case-by-case basis). Thus, a public employee's allocation of part of the employee's salary to a voluntary investment program offered by the employer is a personal investment decision, and information about that decision is protected by common-law privacy. *See, e.g.,* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 9-12 (1992) (participation in TexFlex), 545 at 3-5 (1990) (deferred compensation plan). Likewise, an employee's designation of a retirement beneficiary is excepted from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy. *See* Open Records Decision No. 600 at 9 (1992). However, where a transaction is funded in part by a governmental body, it involves the employee in a transaction with the governmental body, and the basic facts about that transaction are not protected by common-law privacy. *Id.* at 9.

We have marked information relating to medical care that the city must withhold under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. We also have marked information that reveals the details of personal financial matters. That information also must be withheld under section 552.101 and common-law privacy, provided that the marked financial information does not relate to an employee benefit or personal financial transaction that is financed in whole or in part by a governmental body. To the extent that any of the personal financial information that we have marked relates to an employee benefit or financial transaction that a governmental body financed in whole or in part, any such information may not be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.117(a)(1) excepts from public disclosure the home address and telephone number, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee of a governmental body who requests that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. The determination of section 552.117(a)(1) protects a particular item

of information must be made as of the date of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may only be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee of the city who requested confidentiality for the information under section 552.024 prior to the date of the city's receipt of the request for information. The city may not withhold information under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee who did not make a timely election under section 552.024 to keep the information confidential.

You assert that the employee whose personnel file is the subject of this request has elected not to permit access to his home address, home telephone number, social security number, or information that reveals whether the employee has family members. You have submitted the employee's section 552.024 election form. We note, however, that the submitted election form is dated January 14, 2004. The request for the employee's personnel file information is dated January 8, 2004. Thus, it is not clear to this office that the employee in question made a timely election to keep any of his section 552.117 information confidential. Nevertheless, to the extent that this employee did timely and specifically elect not to permit public access to his home address, home telephone number, social security number, or information that reveals whether he has family members, those types of information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.117(a)(1). We have marked the section 552.117 information that the city may be required to withhold. We note that a cell phone number that is provided at public expense may not be withheld from the public under section 552.117. *See* Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-7 (1988) (statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.117 not applicable to cellular mobile phone numbers provided and paid for by governmental body and intended for official use).

With further regard to section 552.117, we note that the submitted documents also contain the family member information of another current or former employee of the city. You do not inform us whether that employee timely elected under section 552.024 to keep any of his section 552.117 information confidential. Nevertheless, the city must withhold the marked information that relates to the other current or former employee if he made a timely election under section 552.024 to keep that information confidential.

Lastly, we note that section 552.130 is applicable to some of the submitted information. This section excepts from public disclosure information that relates to:

- (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
- (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1)-(2). Section 552.130(a)(1) encompasses information that relates to a Texas motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit. Section 552.130(a)(2) encompasses information that relates to a Texas license plate number, motor vehicle title or

registration, or vehicle identification number. We have marked information that the city must withhold under section 552.130.

In summary: (1) the city must not release the submitted information that is subject to the MPA unless the MPA permits the city to do so; (2) the city may be required to withhold a social security number under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I) of title 42 of the United States Code; (3) the city must withhold the marked information that relates to medical care under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy; (4) the city also must withhold the marked personal financial information under section 552.101 and common-law privacy to the extent that the marked financial information relates only to an employee benefit or other financial transaction to which the city did not contribute; (5) the city may be required to withhold some of the submitted information under section 552.117; and (6) the city must withhold the marked information that is encompassed by section 552.130.¹ The rest of the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records

¹We note that some of the documents in Exhibit B are illegible. As this office cannot review illegible information, we are unable to determine whether any information contained in the illegible documents must be withheld from disclosure. See Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D). Therefore, we are returning those documents unmarked. To the extent, however, that the city maintains legible copies of such documents, the legible contents of any such documents must be released or withheld in conformity with our rulings and markings under sections 552.101 and 552.117.


will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. W. Morris, III", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

James W. Morris, III
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JWM/sdk

Ref: ID# 198217

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Karol Montes
4121 North 10th #132
McAllen, Texas 78504
(w/o enclosures)